INPATIENT HYPERGLYCEMIA

Evidence-Based Approaches and Treatment

Nadine E. Palermo, DO
Associate Director of Acute Diabetes Care
Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Hypertension
Brigham and Women's Hospital
Instructor in Medicine, Harvard Medical School

Brigham and Women's Hospital
Founding Member, Mass General Brigham

Disclosures

Co-Investigator, Effectiveness and Safety of Dexcom G6 Continuous Glucose Monitoring System in Non-Critically Ill Patients in the Inpatient Setting [PTL-904283]

55-year-old male with HTN, hyperlipidemia presenting with chest pain admitted for NSTEMI

He has no prior history of IFG/IGT

- **serum glucose on admission 225 mg/dL (12.5 mmol/L)**
- **fasting glucose next day 200 mg/dL (11.1 mmol/L)**

Is this important?
What is the role for monitoring? treating?

Brigham and Women's Hospital
Founding Member, Mass General Brigham

Objectives

- **Rationale for glycemic control in the hospital**
- **Evidence-based recommendations for glycemic targets**
- **Management strategies for common inpatient clinical scenarios**
- **Important aspects to consider with new therapies for diabetes including use of non-insulin agents and technology in the acute setting and implications for transition of care**

Diabetes in the Acute Care Setting

- **Increased prevalence**

  > 30 million in US and predicted to almost triple by 2050 (~ 1 in 3 adults)
  Worldwide: in 2021~537 million adults; projected rise to 783 million by 2045
  14.2 million ED visits, 7.2 million hospital discharges

- **Escalating cost of diabetes care**

https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pdfs/data/statistics/national-diabetes-statistics-report.pdf
https://www.idf.org

Inpatient Hyperglycemia



Stress Hyperglycemia and Evidence of Harm



Figure 1. Causes and Effects of Stress Hyperglycemia.
Stress hyperglycemia can be caused by exogenous administration or endogenous production of glucose and by insulin resistance or reduced secretion of insulin owing to beta-cell dysfunction. The resulting hyperglycemia can potentiate insulin resistance. The consequences of elevated glucose levels may be manifested at the molecular or cellular level, combining to cause tissue abnormalities that include sepsis, impaired wound healing, and neuromyopathy. IV denotes intravenous.

Kavanagh BP and McCowen KC N Engl J Med.2010 Dec 23;363(26):2540-6.

Brigham and Women's Hospital
Founding Member, Mass General Brigham

Hyperglycemia in Hospitalized Patients

Medical/Surgical Patients (n=1886)
Fasting BG ≥ 126 mg/dL or random BG ≥ 200 mg/dL x 2

- **26% of admissions had known diabetes**
- **12% of admissions had previously undiagnosed or "new" diabetes**

In–Hospital Mortality Rate

"New Hyperglycemia"(16%)
Establish Diabetes (3%)
Normoglycemia (1.7%)
P < 0.01

Patients with "new hyperglycemia" have worse outcomes!



Fig. 1. In-hospital mortality n patients with normoglycimia, known diabetes, and newly discovered hyperglycemia.

Umpierrez GE et al. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2002 Mar;87(3):978-82

Why is this relevant?

- **Inpatient dysglycemia is common in patients with and without established diabetes**
- **Increased risk of hospital complications**
- **Increased health care utilization**
- **Higher-in hospital mortality**
- **"Stress Hyperglycemia" marker of severity of illness?**

Appropriate glycemic control can reduce these risks!

Kotagal M et al.. Ann Surg.2015 Jan;261(1):97-103.
Umpierrez GE et al. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2002 Mar;87(3):978-82

Brigham and Women's Hospital
Founding Member, Mass General Brigham

Intensive Insulin Therapy (IIT)

Van den Berghe 2001

Prospective RCT
SICU Leuven, Belgium (n=1548)
Conventional: IV infusion when BG > 215 mg/dL; target 180-200 mg/dL
Intensive (IIT): IV infusion when BG > 110 mg/dL; target 80-110 mg/dL



Relative Risk Reduction (%)
Antibiotic use > 10 d (-35%)*
Blood stream infections (-46%) *
Critical illness polyneuropathy (-44%)**
Mechanical ventilation > 14d (-37%)*
RRT (HD/CVVH) (-41%)*
ICU stay > 14d (-27%)*

* P≤ 0.01
** P≤ 0.001

Improved outcomes with "tight" glycemic control

Van den Berghe G et al.. N Engl J Med. 2001 Nov 8;345(19):1359-67.

How low should we go?

Is "tight" control better?

Figure 2. Association of Tight Glucose Control vs Usual Care With Hospital Mortality, Stratified by ICU Setting and Glucose Goal in Tight Control Group



Wiener RS et al.. JAMA. 2008 Aug 27;300(8):933-44. 11

Intensive Insulin Therapy (IIT)

NICE-SUGAR

Multisite International RCT
MICU/SICU (n=6140)
Conventional: IV insulin with target <180 mg/dL
Intensive (IIT): IV infusion target 81-108 mg/dL





Increased mortality
Intensive therapy vs. Conventional therapy

Severe hypoglycemia in IIT
Intensive Therapy 6.8 %
Conventional Therapy 0.5%

pushing for near normal glycemia risk > benefit

Finfer S et al. N Engl J Med. 2009 Mar 26;360(13):1283-97. 12

Mortality



Study	No. deaths / total no. patients: IIT	Control	Risk ratio (95% CI)
Mixed ICU			
Yu et al.[39]	4/28	4/27	0.96 (0.27–3.47)
Henderson et al.[31]	5/32	7/35	0.78 (0.28–2.22)
Mitchell et al.[35]	9/35	3/35	3.00 (0.89–10.16)
Wang et al.[38]	7/58	26/58	0.27 (0.13–0.57)
Azevedo et al.[22]	38/168	42/169	0.91 (0.62–1.34)
McMullin et al.[34]	6/11	4/9	1.23 (0.49–3.04)
Devos et al.[13]	107/550	89/551	1.20 (0.93–1.55)
Brunkhorst et al.[11]	98/247	102/288	1.12 (0.90–1.39)
Iapichino et al.[32]	15/45	12/45	1.25 (0.66–2.36)
He et al.[30]	16/58	29/64	0.61 (0.37–1.00)
Zhang et al.[40]	4/168	6/170	0.67 (0.19–2.35)
De La Rosa Gdel et al.[12]	102/254	96/250	1.05 (0.84–1.30)
Arabi et al.[10]	72/266	83/257	0.84 (0.64–1.09)
Mackenzie et al.[33]	39/121	47/119	0.82 (0.58–1.15)
NICE-SUGAR[18]	829/3010	751/3012	1.10 (1.01–1.20)
All mixed ICU patients	1351/5051	1301/5089	0.99 (0.87–1.12)
Medical ICU			
Bland et al.[25]	1/5	2/5	0.50 (0.06–3.91)
Van den Berghe et al.[9]	214/595	228/605	0.95 (0.82–1.11)
Walters et al.[37]	1/13	0/12	2.79 (0.12–62.48)
Farah et al.[27]	22/41	22/48	1.17 (0.77–1.78)
Oksanen et al.[36]	13/39	18/51	0.94 (0.53–1.68)
Bruno et al.[26]	2/31	0/15	2.50 (0.13–49.05)
All medical ICU patients	253/724	270/736	1.00 (0.78–1.28)
Surgical ICU			
Van den Berghe et al.[8]	55/765	85/783	0.66 (0.48–0.92)
Grey et al.[28]	4/34	6/27	0.53 (0.17–1.69)

"Tight" glycemic control does not benefit all patients
Especially those with increased risk of hypoglycemia

Griesdale DE et al. CMAJ. 2009 Apr 14;180(8):821-7.

Hypoglycemia

BG < 70 mg/dL (3.9 mmol/L)
Clinically significant hypoglycemia <54 mg/dL (3.0 mmol/L)
Severe hypoglycemia BG < 40 mg/dL (2.3 mmol/L)

Associated with poor outcomes
- **66% increased risk of death within 1 year**
- **longer LOS (~ 2.8 days)**
- **complications: ischemic changes, arrhythmias, prolonged QT, sudden death in type 1**

Risk factors in hospitalized patients:
older age
impairment in renal function
change in nutritional intake
interruption in glucose monitoring/failure to adjust therapy

Garg R, et al.. Diabetes Care. 2013 May;36(5):1107-10.
Turchin A et al. Diabetes Care. 2009 Jul;32(7):1153-7.



summary from the evidence

Benefit of "tight" glycemic control in some patients

Some populations more prone to hypoglycemia

Treatment is important to prevent sequale of hyperglycemia

Brigham and Women's Hospital
Founding Member, Mass General Brigham

Assessment of Hyperglycemia in the Acute Care Setting

- Glucose measurement in all patients admitted to hospital
- > 140 mg/dL (7.8 mmol/L) and history of DM, POCT AC and q HS
- Pre-meal testing done w/in 1 hour of meal
- NPO/enteral nutrition q4-6h
- If hyperglycemic, check HbA1c (if not checked within last 2-3 months)*

* caveat don't forget about factors that will influence HbA1c (transfusions etc)

Lansang MC and Umpierrez GE. Cleve Clin J Med. 2016 May;83(5 Suppl 1):S34-43.

Target Glucose Levels: what is the sweet spot?

Organization	Critically Ill	Non-critically Ill Patient
ADA/AACE	< 140-180 mg/dL Initiate insulin >180 mg/dL	Pre-meal <140 mg/dL Random < 180 mg/dL*
ACP	140-200 mg/dL Recommends against IIT	
Critical Care Society	140-180 mg/dL Initiate insulin >150 mg/dL	
Endocrine Society		Pre-meal < 140 mg/dL Random < 180 mg/dL* Adjust regimen < 100 mg/dL
Society of Thoracic Surgeons	Cardiac surgery: IV insulin <180 mg/dL peri-op ≤ 110 mg/dL fasting or premeal	
Joint British Diabetes Society		6-10 mmol/L (108-180 mg/dL) acceptable range 4-12 mm/L (72-216 mg/dL)

*Higher targets < 200 mg/dL acceptable in patients with terminal illness, limited life expectancy or increased risk of hypoglycemia

Modified from Lansang MC and Umpierrez GE. Cleve Clin J Med. 2016 May;83(5 Suppl 1):S34-43.

Brigham and Women's Hospital
Founding Member, Mass General Brigham

Target Glucose Levels: what is the sweet spot?

Critically Ill Patient	Non-critically Ill Patient
< 180 mg/dL (< 10.0 mmol/L)	Pre-meal <140 mg/dL (< 7.8 mmol/L) Random < 180 mg/dL (< 10.0 mmol/L) •Higher glucose levels < 200 mg/dL (< 11.1 mmol/L) may be acceptable in some patients (terminally ill, multiple medical comorbidities)

Factors to consider for hospitalized patients

- Different eating
- Different activity
- Medications
- Nutrition
- Illness related insulin resistance
- Patient factors: renal function
- Diabetes phenotype

What about continuing outpatient medications?

Medication	Advantages	Disadvantages
Metformin	Low risk for hypoglycemia	MALA risk in patients with hypoperfusion (RI, cirrhosis, HF)
Sulfonylureas		Risk of hypoglycemia (RI, reduced po intake)
TZDs	Low risk of hypoglycemia	Slow onset, fluid retention C/I HF or hepatic dysfunction
DPP4-inhibitors	Low risk of hypoglycemia	
GLP-1 agonists	Low risk of hypoglycemia	GI effects Perioperative planning
SGLT-2 inhibitors	Low risk of hypoglycemia	Limited data Increased risk GU infections Risk of dehydration, hypotension, euglycemic DKA Perioperative planning

Insulin has been the mainstay for treatment of hyperglycemia in hospitalized patients

Modified from Lansang MC and Umpierrez GE. Cleve Clin J Med. 2016 May;83(5 Suppl 1):S34-43.

It's all about the timing…

Type of Insulin	Name	Onset	Peak	Duration
Rapid Acting	Aspart (Novolog) Lispro (Humalog) Glulisine (Apidra)	5-15 min	1-2 h	4-6 h
Short Acting	Regular (Humulin R, Novolin R)	30-60 min	2-4 h	6-10 h
Intermediate Acting	NPH (Humulin N, Novolin N)	2-4 h	6-12 h	12-18 h
Long Acting	Glargine (Lantus, Basaglar)	2-4 h	None	22-24 h
	Glargine U-300 (Toujeo) Degludec U-100, U-200 (Tresiba)	6 h 1h	none none	22-36 h 42 h
Pre-Mixed Insulin	NPH/regular (Humulin 70/30,Novolin 70/30)	30-60 min	2-12 h	12-18 h
	Lispro protamine/lispro (Humalog 75/25, Humalog 50/50)	5-15 min	1-2 h	12-18 h
	Aspart Protamine/Aspart (Novolog 70/30)	5-15 min	1-2 h	12-18 h

Timing is everything!



Reproduced with permission from McDonnell ME "Comparison of New Basal Versus Traditional Insulins" Endocrine Society 2016 Ancillary Symposia. April 1, 2016

Physiology



Magaji V and Johnson JM. Clinical Diabetes 2011 (29): 3-9.

A Simple Approach

- Basal
- Prandial (Nutritional)
- Correctional

Insulin is the recommended agent for glycemic control in hospitalized patient, but how?

- IV insulin
- Sliding scale only
- Basal-bolus
- Basal only

When to use IV insulin…

- **Hyperglycemic crisis (DKA/HHS)**
- **Labor**
- **Critical illness**
- **Post transplantation**
- **Post cardiac surgery**

Flexibility with short half life (<15 min)

What about SC insulin?

- **Basal-bolus**
- **Basal only**
- **Correctional only**
- **Basal and correctional**

Does Basal-Bolus work?

RABBIT-2: basal-bolus vs. sliding scale



Figure 1—*Changes in blood glucose concentrations in patients treated with glargine plus glulisine (●) and with SSI (○). *P < 0.01; ¶P < 0.05.*

Multi-center, prospective RCT
Non-critically ill patients
Basal-bolus (n=104)
SS only (n=107)

Basal-bolus is a safe and effective means to achieve glycemic control

Umpierrez GE et al. Diabetes Care. 2007 Sep;30(9):2181-6.

RABBIT-2 Surgery

Multicenter RCT surgical patients
Basal-bolus (n=104) vs. SS only (n=107)

Improved glycemic control
Improved perioperative outcomes

Table 2—*Composite hospital complications and outcomes composite hospital complications*

	All	SSI	Basal-bolus insulin	P value
Wound infections	14	11	3	0.050
Pneumonia	3	3	0	0.247
Acute respiratory failure	6	5	1	0.213
Acute renal failure	15	11	4	0.106
Bacteremia	3	2	1	0.999
Number of patients with complications	35	26	9	0.003
Mortality	2	1	1	NS
Postsurgery ICU admission (%)	16	19.6	12.5	NS
Length of stay (days)				
ICU	2.51 ± 1.90	3.19 ± 2.14	1.23 ± 0.60	0.003
Hospital	6.8 ± 8.9	6.3 ± 5.6	7.23 ± 11.39	NS



Figure 1—*A: Glucose levels during basal-bolus and SSI treatment. Changes in blood glucose concentration after the 1st day of treatment with basal-bolus with glargine once daily plus glulisine before meals (○) and with SSI 4-times daily (●). *P < 0.001, ¶P = 0.02, †P = 0.01. B: Glucose levels before meals and bedtime. Premeal and bedtime glucose levels were higher throughout the day in the SSI group (●) compared with basal-bolus regimen (○).*

Umpierrez GE et al.. Diabetes Care. 2011 Feb;34(2):256-61.

Estimating TDD

Home insulin regimen vs. weight-based approach

Weight-based~ 0.3-0.6 units/kg/day
Insulin-naïve: 0.3-0.5 units/kg/day
Elderly 0.3 units/kg/day

Maynard G et al. . J Hosp Med. 2009 Jan;4(1):3-15.
Schnipper JL et al.. J Hosp Med. 2009 Jan;4(1):16-27.
Umpierrez GE et al.. Diabetes Care. 2011 Feb;34(2):256-61.

Brigham and Women's Hospital
Founding Member, Mass General Brigham

Factors to Consider when determining the TDD

- **For patients with known diabetes- what was control?**
- **Compliance**
  using long-acting to cover both basal and prandial needs is common
- **Risk factors for hypoglycemia**

  renal function
  elderly
  hepatic dysfunction
  pancreatic dysfunction

Maynard G et al. . J Hosp Med. 2009 Jan;4(1):3-15.
Schnipper JL et al.. J Hosp Med. 2009 Jan;4(1):16-27.

Estimating TDD

Remember this is a place to start…

Baseline weight-based TDD estimate	0.5 units/kg/day, adjust by factors listed below
Age > 70 years	**-0.1 units/kg/day**
Renal insufficiency (eGFR < 45)	**-0.1 units/kg/day**
Hepatic insufficiency (advanced cirrhosis)	**-0.1 units/kg/day**
Pancreatic deficiency (chronic pancreatitis, CF, s/p pancreatectomy)	**-0.1 units/kg/day**
HbA1c >10%	**+0.1 units/kg/day**
Currently on glucocorticoids with the equivalent of prednisone 40 mg/day or greater	**+0.1 units/kg/day**
FINAL TDD *estimate*	**=**

Breaking it up…

"The Split"



The "Sensitivity Factor" or "Correction Factor"

Correctional Insulin (sensitivity factor (SF)/correction factor (CF) used for sliding scale
Predicted effect 1 unit of insulin will have on glucose Calculated by "Rule of 1500 (1800)" based on predicted sensitivity 1500/TDD=CF or ISF Example TDD 50 units 1500/50=30; 1 unit of insulin will lower BG by 30 mg/dL

Davidson PC et al.. Endocr Pract. 2008 Dec;14(9):1095-101

Correctional Insulin

"Low dose" (1:50 >151) for TDD < 40 units/day
"Moderate dose" (2:50 >151) for TDD 40-80 units/day
"High dose" (*custom*) for TDD > 81 units/day

Brigham and Women's Hospital
Founding Member, Mass General Brigham

Example Calculation

60 kg patient
Normal renal function

Step 1: Estimate TDD (0.5 units/kg x wt)
60 x 0.5= 30 units

Step 2: Determine "the split" **(usually 50% basal, 50% prandial)**
50% of 30 units= 15
15 units basal insulin
15 units total for prandial/3 (b/l/d)= 5 units AC

Step 3: Determine the "correction" **(AKA sliding scale)**
1500/TDD=CF
1500/30=50 (for every 1 unit of insulin, expect decrease by ~50 mg/dL)

Target Glucose Levels

Critically Ill Patient	Non-critically Ill Patient
< 180 mg/dL (< 10.0 mmol/L)	Pre-meal <140 mg/dL (< 7.8 mmol/L) Random < 180 mg/dL (< 10.0 mmol/L) •Higher glucose levels < 200 mg/dL (< 11.1 mmol/L) may be acceptable in some patients (terminally ill, multiple medical comorbidities)

Brigham and Women's Hospital
Founding Member, Mass General Brigham

Adjustments in Insulin Regimen

Assess glycemic control daily

- If fasting above goal, adjust basal
- If pre-lunch above goal, adjust breakfast bolus
- If pre-dinner above goal, adjust lunch bolus
- If bedtime above goal, adjust dinner bolus

- ***Strategy for adjustments***

  Increase by 10% for glucose values 140-180 mg/dL (7.8-10.0 mmol/L)

  Increase by 20% for glucose values over 180 mg/dL (> 10.0 mmol/L)

  Decrease by 10% for glucose values 70-99 mg/dL (3.9-5.4 mmol/L)

  Decrease by 20% for glucose values < 70 mg/dL (< 3.9 mmol/L)

Example
Fasting blood sugar is 250 mg/dL (13.9 mmol/L) so basal insulin should be increased by 20%

Umpierrez GE et al. Diabetes Care. 2011 Feb;34(2):256-61.

Tailor to Clinical Scenario

	Example insulin regimen
NPO	Basal insulin (long or intermediate acting insulin if basal requirement) Regular insulin correction scale q6h
Unreliable po intake	Basal insulin (long or intermediate acting insulin if basal requirement) RAI with dose reduction for decreased po intake and correction scale (or correction only)
Reliable po intake	Basal insulin (long or intermediate acting insulin if basal requirement) RAI with meals, correction scale with RAI to be given with nutritional dose
Parenteral nutrition	Basal insulin (long or intermediate acting insulin if basal requirement) Nutritional insulin given as regular insulin added to TPN bag
Enteral nutrition	Continuous EN: nutritional dose/4 given as regular insulin q6h ^ Cycled EN: NPH^ at onset (12h cycle), RAI or short acting insulin pending cycle length^ Bolus EN: RAI with bolus ^
Steroids	Basal insulin (long or intermediate acting insulin if basal requirement)-consider NPH RAI with "stacked doses" "NPH on top of" program

^ recommend using order set with safety " hold if TF/TPN held…"
"If TF/TPN interrupted patient will require frequent glucose monitoring and may require dextrose support for duration of pharmacologic activity of last SC insulin given"
If hypoglycemia, may give IV dextrose at rate of TF if needed to "ride out" insulin action

65-year-old male DM type 2 x 20 years admitted for GIB and is NPO

<u>Outpatient Diabetes Regimen:</u>

Glargine 80 units qHS
Lispro 20 units AC
Metformin 1000 mg BID
Sitagliptin 100 mg daily

Wt 120 kg
Cr 1.6 (baseline 1.0)
HbA1c 10.2%

Admits to compliance with oral agents but "sometimes forgets insulin"

Estimating TDD

Remember this is a place to start…

Wt 120 kg
Cr 1.6 (baseline 1.0)
HbA1c 10.2%

Baseline weight-based TDD estimate	0.5 units/kg/day, adjust by factors listed below
Age > 70 years	**-0.1 units/kg/day**
Renal insufficiency (eGFR < 45)	**-0.1 units/kg/day**
Hepatic insufficiency (advanced cirrhosis)	**-0.1 units/kg/day**
Pancreatic deficiency (chronic pancreatitis, CF, s/p pancreatectomy)	**-0.1 units/kg/day**
HbA1c >10%	**+0.1 units/kg/day**
Currently on glucocorticoids with the equivalent of prednisone 40 mg/day or greater	**+0.1 units/kg/day**
FINAL TDD *estimate*	**= 0.5 units/kg/day**

Calculation

120 kg patient
Impaired renal function
HbA1c >10 %

Step 1: Estimate TDD (0.5 units/kg x wt)
120 x 0.5= 60 units

Step 2: Determine "the split" (usually 50% basal, 50% prandial)
50% of 60 units= 30
30 units basal insulin
NPO, no standing prandial insulin

Step 3: Determine the "correction" (AKA sliding scale)
1500/TDD=CF
1500/60=25 (for every 1 unit of insulin, expect decrease by ~25 mg/dL)

65-year-old male DM type 2 x 20 years admitted for GIB and is NPO

Wt 120 kg
Cr 1.4 (baseline 1.0)
HbA1c 10.2%

Started on Glargine 30 units qHS
Fasting next day is 225 mg/dL (12.5 mmol/L)
Remains NPO

What should you do next?*

A. Increase basal by ~20% (36 units)

B. Continue current insulin

C. Decrease basal by ~10% (27 units)
as he will remain NPO

Brigham and Women's Hospital
Founding Member, Mass General Brigham

65-year-old male DM type 2 x 20 years admitted for GIB and is NPO

Wt 120 kg
Cr 1.4 (baseline 1.0)
HbA1c 10.2%

Started on Glargine 30 units qHS
Fasting next day is 225 mg/dL (12.5 mmol/L)
Remains NPO

What should you do next?

A. Increase basal by ~20% (36 units)

B. Continue current insulin

C. Decrease basal by ~10% (27 units)
as he will remain NPO

65-year-old male DM type 2 x 20 years admitted for GIB and is NPO

Wt 120 kg
Cr 1.4 (baseline 1.0)
HbA1c 10.2%

Glargine 44 units q HS
Fasting next day 120 mg/dL (6.7 mmol/L)
Diet ADAT, now ordered full carb consistent diet

What should you do next?*

A. Continue current regimen

B. Increase basal insulin by 20%

C. Increase basal insulin by 10%

D. Continue current basal and correctional insulin and begin prandial insulin

65-year-old male DM type 2 x 20 years admitted for GIB and is NPO

Wt 120 kg
Cr 1.4 (baseline 1.0)
HbA1c 10.2%

Glargine 44 units q HS
Fasting next day 120 mg/dL (6.7 mmol/L)
Diet ADAT, now ordered full carb consistent diet

What should you do next?

A. Continue current regimen

B. Increase basal insulin by 20%

C. Increase basal insulin by 10%

D. Continue current basal and correctional insulin and begin prandial insulin

Calculation

Diet advanced, anticipate need for prandial insulin, may consider reduced dosed until eating reliably

- 120 kg patient
- impaired renal function
- HbA1c>10 %

Step 1: Estimate TDD (0.5 units/kg x wt)
120 x 0.5= 60 units-~ 30 units basal -now titrated to 44 units

Step 2: Determine "the split" (usually 50% basal, 50% prandial)
50% of 60 units= 30
30 units basal insulin
NPO, no standing prandial insulin
10 units AC with weight-based, if using new basal 15 units AC
if worried about po intake may give 8-10 initially

Step 3: Determine the "correction" (AKA sliding scale)
1500/TDD=CF
1500/60=25 (for every 1 unit of insulin, expect decrease by ~25 mg/dL)

Helpful to have carb consistent diet for safety of insulin dosing

57-year-old female with DM type 2 and COPD presenting with SOB

Outpatient Diabetes Regimen:
Metformin 1000 mg BID
Sitagliptin 100 mg daily

Wt 66 kg
Cr 0.9
HbA1c 7.2%

Starting treatment for COPD exacerbation BG >300 mg/dL (> 16.6 mmol/L)

What would you do next?*

A . Continue home regimen

B. Start sliding scale insulin

C. Start basal-bolus insulin



*

57-year-old female with DM type 2 and COPD presenting with SOB



Outpatient Diabetes Regimen:
Metformin 1000 mg BID
Sitagliptin 100 mg daily

Wt 66 kg
Cr 0.9
HbA1c 7.2%

Starting treatment for COPD exacerbation BG >300 mg/dL (> 16.6 mmol/L)

What would you do next?

A . Continue home regimen

B. Start sliding scale insulin

C. Start basal-bolus insulin

Effect of Glucocorticoids on Glucose and Insulin



Fig. 1. Plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in relatives of NIDDM patients (*triangles*) and in control subjects (*circles*) during the OGTT before (*closed symbols*) and during (*open labels*) dex treatment. Values are mean ± SEM



Fig. 3. First phase insulin responsiveness (Ø1) pre- and post-dexamethasone in hyperglycaemic (□) and normoglycaemic relatives (■) and in control subjects (▥). Values are mean ± SEM. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.03$, *** $p < 0.02$ vs hyperglycaemic relatives



Fig. 4. Relationship between insulin sensitivity and insulin secretion in hyperglycaemic (□, ■) and normoglycaemic (△, ▲) relatives of NIDDM patients and in control subjects (●, ○), before (*closed symbols*) and during (*open symbols*) dex treatment. Arrows indicate direction of movement of respective groups following dex treatment. Dotted lines represent the 95 % confidence limits obtained from the antilog of the 95 % confidence limits for the log transformed data for

Anticipate increased post prandial requirements and glucose levels which worsen throughout the day

Henriksen JE et al. Diabetologia. 1997 Dec;40(12):1439-46.

Steroid-induced Hyperglycemia

Expert opinion using NPH to mimic anticipated rise
•NPH as basal
•NPH "on top of " regimen

•2022 Endocrine Society Guidelines suggest either NPH-based or basal bolus regimen



Brigham and Women's Hospital
Founding Member, Mass General Brigham

Steroid-induced Hyperglycemia

DOI: 10.1111/dme.13675

Diabetes UK Position Statements

Management of hyperglycaemia and steroid (glucocorticoid) therapy: a guideline from the Joint British Diabetes Societies (JBDS) for Inpatient Care group

A. Roberts[1], J. James[2] and K. Dhatariya[3], on behalf of the Joint British Diabetes Societies (JBDS) for Inpatient Care*

[1]Cardiff and Vale University Local Health Board, Cardiff, UK, [2]University Hospitals Leicester NHS Trust, Leicester, UK and [3]Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Norwich, UK

Accepted 12 May 2018

Stress Hyperglycemia	Consider SU or basal insulin (in AM)
DM type 2 (not on insulin)	SU ± basal insulin (given in AM)
DM type 2 (on insulin)	Basal insulin: (consider switch to AM and increase dose) Premixed insulin: increase morning dose MDI: increase lunch and dinner RAI
DM type 1	Increase basal, increase lunch and dinner RAI

Joint British Diabetes Societies (JBDS) for Inpatient Care. Management of hyperglycaemia and steroid (glucocorticoid) therapy: a guideline from the Joint British Diabetes Societies (JBDS) for Inpatient Care group. Diabet Med. 2018 Aug;35(8):1011-1017.

Estimating TDD

Remember this is a place to start…

Wt 66 kg
Cr 0.9
HbA1c 7.2%

Baseline weight-based TDD estimate	0.5 units/kg/day, adjust by factors listed below
Age > 70 years	**-0.1 units/kg/day**
Renal insufficiency (eGFR < 45)	**-0.1 units/kg/day**
Hepatic insufficiency (advanced cirrhosis)	**-0.1 units/kg/day**
Pancreatic deficiency (chronic pancreatitis, CF, s/p pancreatectomy)	**-0.1 units/kg/day**
HbA1c >10%	**+0.1 units/kg/day**
Currently on glucocorticoids with the equivalent of prednisone 40 mg/day or greater	**+0.1 units/kg/day**
FINAL TDD *estimate*	**= 0.6 unit/kg/day**

Calculation

- **66 kg patient**
- **normal renal function**
- **HbA1c 7.2%**

May use 50/50 or consider 40/60 split, using NPH and/or "stacked RAI" with steroids. Anticipate decreased requirements as steroids tapered

Step 1: Estimate TDD (0.6 units/kg x wt)

66 x 0.6= 40 units

Step 2: Determine "the split" (usually 50% basal, 50% prandial)

50% of 40 units= 20 units
20 units basal insulin (if using NPH can split 10/10 or 13/7)
20 units prandial insulin
20/3=6 units RAI AC (another strategy 4/5/6)

Step 3: Determine the "correction" (AKA sliding scale)

1500/TDD=CF
1500/40=38 (for every 1 unit of insulin, expect decrease by ~40 mg/dL)

32-year-old male no known past medical history presenting with fatigue and lethargy



Mg 2.0 Phos 0.9
Arterial pH: 7.29
Urine ketones +2
Serum ketones: moderate
Anion gap: 26

What is most appropriate next step in management?*

A. Start sliding scale insulin
B. Start basal bolus insulin regimen
C. Transfer to the unit for insulin infusion
D. Start SC insulin q2h

*

Brigham and Women's Hospital
Founding Member, Mass General Brigham

32-year-old male no known past medical history presenting with fatigue and lethargy



Mg 2.0 Phos 0.9
Arterial pH: 7.29
Urine ketones +2
Serum ketones: moderate
Anion gap: 26

What is most appropriate next step in management?

A. Start sliding scale insulin
B. Start basal bolus insulin regimen
C. Transfer to the unit for insulin infusion
D. Start SC insulin q2h

*

Criteria for Hyperglycemic Crisis

DKA
- **D: blood glucose >250 mg/dL (13.9 mmol/L)**
- **K: ketonemia (ketonuria)**
- **A: metabolic acidosis with pH < 7.3 OR Serum bicarbonate < 18 mEq/L**

HHS
- **Blood glucose > 600 mg/dL (> 33.0 mmol/L)**
- **Arterial pH > 7.30**
- **Bicarbonate > 18 mEq/L**
- **EFFECTIVE serum osm > 320 mOsm/kg**
- **Mild ketonuria or ketonemia may be present**

Effective osm= 2 [NA^+] + BG/18

Brigham and Women's Hospital
Founding Member, Mass General Brigham

Treatment



- **IVF**
- **Electrolyte Repletion**
- **Insulin**

Steenkamp DW et al. Curr Diab Rep. 2013 Feb;13(1):130-7.

Management of DKA/HHS: *Fluids*

Hypovolemia: 0.5-1 L NS/LR bolus with maintenance fluid rate dosed based on physiologic parameters and repletion of intravascular and extravascular volume

Maintenance and selection of fluids:

- **Hypernatremia (corrected Na^+ >135): consider change to D51/2 NS at 150-250 cc/hr**
- **Hypokalemia: replacement with IVF/ K^+**
- **Hyperchloremia and Hypobicarbonemia: consider changing to LR**
- **Hypoglycemia prevention: BG < 250 mg/dL, change IVF to D51/2 NS at 150-250 cc/hr**
-

BWH Hyperglycemic Crisis Guideline

Brigham and Women's Hospital
Founding Member, Mass General Brigham

Management of DKA/HHS: *Electrolytes*

If K^+ if < 3.3 mEq/L: hold insulin and replete K^+

If K^+ is 3.3- 5.3 mEq/L: give 20-30 mEq in each liter of IVF

If K^+ >5.3 mEq/L: do not give additional K^+, repeat K^+ 2 hours

Potassium Repletion: for initial KCL administration see table below. Monitor K^+ q 4-6 h. For maintenance dosing See EPIC Order for K^+ replacement scale.

Serum K+ (mEq/L)	Peripheral or Enteral	Central
>5 or/ urine output < 0.5 cc/kg/hr	None	None
4-5	10 mEq IV x 2 doses OR 20 mEq enterally	20 mEq IV
3-4	10 mEq IV x 4 doses OR 40 mEq enterally	20 mEq IV x 2 doses
<3	10 mEq IV x 6 doses OR 40 mEq enterally then 20 mEq 2hr after	20 mEq IV x 3 doses

Severe Hypophosphatemia < 1 mg/dL

Indications for bicarbonate is controversial, no prospective randomized trials, often not considered unless pH<6.9

BWH Hyperglycemic Crisis Guideline

Management of DKA/HHS: *Insulin*

K^+ > 3.3 mEq/L

IV regular insulin infusion bolus 0.1 units/kg followed by 0.1 units/kg/hr

	Intravenous Insulin * Please Note: All insulin regular continuous infusion orders must be entered in EPIC with the dose in units/hr for smart pump compatibility
Initial Dose	0.1 units/kg up to 10 units IV bolus
Initial Rate	0.1 unit/kg/hr Max 7 units/hr for patients with a new diagnosis of DM and no clinical suspicion of insulin resistance Max 10 units/hr for all other patients
If BG ↓ by < 50 mg/dl per hr	Repeat 0.1 units/kg bolus then resume infusion at 0.1 unit/kg/hr If BG fails to drop after 2nd bolus, check integrity of line and increase rate to 0.14 units/kg/hr
If BG ↓ by > 100 mg/dl per hr	Decrease rate to 0.05 unit/kg/hr
DKA: Blood glucose <250 mg/dl	0.05 units/kg/h until anion gap has closed (<12)
HHS: Blood glucose 250-300 mg/dl	0.05 units/kg/h until effective osmolality ≤ 315 mOsm/kg

*Subcutaneous long-acting insulin glargine can be simultaneously administered once hydration is adequate at a dose of 0.25 units/kg/day (normal renal function) or 0.15 units/kg/day (impaired renal function) to improve transition to subcutaneous regimen

BWH Hyperglycemic Crisis Guideline

Hyperglycemic Crisis: Classification of Patients

	Mild DKA	Moderate DKA	Severe DKA	HHS
Blood glucose (mg/dL)	>250	>250	>250	>600
pH	< 7.30	7.12-7.24	< 7.15	>7.30
HCO_3	15-18	10 to < 15	< 10	>18
Urine/Serum Ketones	+	+	+	+/-
Serum Osm (Osm_{eff})				>320
AG	elevated	elevated	elevated	variable
Mental Status	alert	alert/drowsy	stupor/coma	stupor/coma

Modified from Kitabchi et al. Diabetes Care 2009 32(7):1335-1343

Hyperglycemic Crisis Patient Triage

Classification	Mild DKA	Moderate DKA	Severe DKA	HHS	DKA/HHS Overlap Syndrome
Insulin	May consider SC	IV	IV	IV	IV
Level of Care	Intermediate Unit	Intermediate* /ICU	ICU	ICU	ICU

May consider SC insulin for mild/moderate uncomplicated DKA with treatment in intermediate unit with capability for q 2h FS q 4h labs

Subcutaneous Rapid Acting Insulin for DKA

SC- 1h
Initial 0.3 units/kg
followed by 0.1 unit/kg q1h

SC-2h
Initial 0.3 units/kg
followed by 0.2 unit/kg q2h

Table 3—*Response to medical treatment*

	SC-1h	SC-2h	Regular IV insulin
n	15	15	15
Length of hospital stay (days)	3.4 ± 3	3.9 ± 5	4.5 ± 3
Duration of therapy until glucose <13.8 mmol/l (h)	6.9 ± 4	6.1 ± 4	7.1 ± 5
Duration of therapy until resolution of DKA (h)	10 ± 3	10.7 ± 3	11 ± 3
Amount of insulin until glucose <13.8 mmol/l (units)	67 ± 37	63 ± 26	62 ± 28
Amount of insulin until resolution of DKA (units)	85 ± 33	94 ± 32	82 ± 28
Episodes of hypoglycemia	1	1	1

are means ± SD.



Figure 1—*Changes in metabolic profile in patients with DKA treated with SC-1h (●) and SC-2h (○) and with IV regular insulin (▲, △). β-OH-BB-OH-butyrate, β-hydroxybutyrate; FFA, free fatty acid.*

Our practice:
SC-2h for mild uncomplicated DKA

.
Umpierrez GE et al. Diabetes Care. 2004 Aug;27(8):1873-8.

Example Protocol for Use of Subcutaneous Insulin Protocol in Treatment of Mild Uncomplicated DKA

	Subcutaneous Insulin Aspart	Subcutaneous Insulin Glargine
Initial Dose	0.3 units/kg maximum 20 units	0.25 units/kg if GFR >40; 0.15 units/kg if GFR <40
Subsequent Dose	0.2 units/kg every 2 hours maximum 10 units	Redose in 24 hours based on response to initial dose
Blood glucose <250 mg/dl	0.05-0.1 units/kg every 2 hours	

BWH Hyperglycemic Crisis Guideline

Consideration for *Early Basal Therapy*

Initiation of long-acting insulin (0.25 units/kg) within 12h of insulin infusion decreased rate of rebound hyperglycemia (n=61, p<0.001)

Our practice

If eGFR >45: 0.25 unit/kg
If eGFR <45: 0.15 unit/kg



FIG. 1. A, Average glycemia in all patients: control (*open bars*, n = 31) and intervention (*closed bars*, n = 30) groups during the 12 h after iv insulin infusion. B, Percent rebound hyperglycemia in these patients during the 12 h after iv insulin infusion. *, $P < 0.01$. Data presented as mean or mean ± SEM.

Hsia E et al. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2012 Sep;97(9):3132-7.

When to transition?... and how?

When AG closed and bicarbonate > 17-18 *(ish)*

At time of transition overlap and higher weight-based dose

Next day, need to redose

Transition from IV to SC
DON'T FORGET TO OVERLAP WITH BASAL
and remember timing is everything….

Rubin DJ et al.. Diabetes Care. 2011 Aug;34(8):1723-8.
Steenkamp DW et al.. Curr Diab Rep. 2013 Feb;13(1):130-7.

Brigham and Women's Hospital
Founding Member, Mass General Brigham

Treatment

- **Hyperosmolality:** how to correct safely?

No RCT for rate of correction, but expert opinion is to avoid lowering effective osm by more than 3 mOsm/hr

Don't forget to correct the sodium for glucose

Correction yields a *very predictable* improvement in mental status. If you don't see this... look for another cause (?LP, toxic ingestion, etc.)

Pitfalls

- Misdiagnosis
- Hyperglycemic crisis not yet adequately resolved
- Inadequate overlap of subcutaneous insulin with IV insulin
- Inadequate dosing of subcutaneous insulin
- Initial insulin program does not take into account expected nutritional plan
- Don't forget about etiology and co-existing illness

Brigham and Women's Hospital
Founding Member, Mass General Brigham

What we know works …

- Standardized Order sets (scheduled insulin and timing of FSBG) shown to improve glycemic control
- IV insulin protocols

Maynard G, Lee. J Hosp Med. 2009 Jan;4(1):3-15.
Schnipper JL, et al . J Hosp Med. 2009 Jan;4(1):16-27.

Transition of Care Planning



***Use admission HbA1c to adjust therapy at discharge**

Umpierrez GE,. Diabetes Care. 2014 Nov;37(11):2934-9.

Brigham and Women's Hospital
Founding Member, Mass General Brigham

Transition of Care Checklist

- ❑ **Diabetes Education ("survival skills")**
- ❑ **Insulin Teaching** (***if applicable, should include pen and vial/syringe***)
- ❑ **Glucometer Teaching**

Confirm patient has diabetes supplies:

- ❑ **Medications* (if using insulin vial-syringe; if insulin pen-pen needles)**
- ❑ **Test strips (must match glucometer)**
- ❑ **Lancets**
- ❑ **Clear communication with patient regarding discharge regimen***
- ❑ **Follow-up appointment scheduled**
- ❑ **PCP aware of any dose adjustments**

**Medications and supplies will vary depending on insurance coverage- often human insulin cheaper than analogs; helpful to know coverage for pen vs. vial/syringe prior to discharge*

Type 2 Diabetes in 2024



Reproduced with permission from McDonell, ME Adapted from:
DeFronzo RA. *Ann Intern Med.* 1999;131:281–303.
Buse JB, et al. In: *Williams Textbook of Endocrinology*. 10th ed. Philadelphia: Saunders; 2003:1427–1483.
Defuria, et al. Proc Natl Acad Sci, 2013

Advances In Diabetes Therapies: Implications in Hospital Medicine

- **Consideration for non-insulin agents in some clinical scenarios**
- **Medications that may require dose adjustment following hospitalization**
- **Newer and concentrated insulins**
- **Diabetes Technology (CSII, CGM, AID)**

Metformin and Risk of Acidosis

Table 3.

Association of Time-Dependent Metformin Use With Acidosis Hospitalization by Time-Dependent Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (eGFR) Category in Geisinger Health System

Parameter	HR[a] (95% CI) for Acidosis Associated With Metformin Use by Time-Dependent eGFR Category, mL/min/1.73 m^2					
	Overall[b]	≥90	60-89	45-59	30-44	<30
Person-time (on metformin/off metformin)	188 578/281 536	80 653/98 905	79 788/102 110	21 232/40 861	6358/29 834	548/9827
Acidosis events (on metformin/off metformin)	737/1598	206/323	288/446	157/286	64/314	22/229
Unadjusted (n = 75 413)	0.89 (0.81-0.97)	0.77 (0.65-0.92)	0.82 (0.71-0.95)	1.05 (0.87-1.28)	0.95 (0.73-1.25)	1.71 (1.10-2.64)
Demographic adjusted[c] (n = 75 413)	0.89 (0.81-0.97)	0.75 (0.63-0.90)	0.82 (0.71-0.96)	1.07 (0.88-1.30)	0.98 (0.75-1.28)	1.76 (1.14-2.73)
Fully adjusted[d] (n = 72 232)	0.98 (0.89-1.08)	0.88 (0.73-1.05)	0.87 (0.75-1.02)	1.16 (0.95-1.41)	1.09 (0.83-1.44)	2.07 (1.33-3.22)
Fully adjusted with time-dependent medication use[e] (n = 72 232)	0.94 (0.83-1.05)	0.80 (0.66-0.97)	0.81 (0.68-0.95)	1.14 (0.93-1.40)	1.13 (0.85-1.49)	2.21 (1.42-3.44)
Sensitivity analyses						
Fully adjusted[d] excluding baseline insulin users (n = 60 112)	1.02 (0.91-1.13)	0.88 (0.71-1.09)	0.89 (0.75-1.06)	1.21 (0.97-1.50)	1.16 (0.87-1.57)	2.22 (1.41-3.51)
Fully adjusted[d] including adjustment for baseline hemoglobin A_{1c} (n = 58 093)	1.01 (0.90-1.14)	0.84 (0.67-1.04)	0.93 (0.78-1.12)	1.23 (0.98-1.55)	1.07 (0.78-1.46)	2.22 (1.37-3.59)
Fully adjusted[d] in incident diabetes mellitus cohort (n = 49 839)	0.91 (0.79-1.04)	0.85 (0.68-1.06)	0.82 (0.66-1.01)	1.15 (0.86-1.53)	0.88 (0.55-1.39)	2.37 (1.20-4.71)
Fully adjusted[d] with early censoring of metformin (n = 72 232)	1.04 (0.95-1.15)	0.93 (0.78-1.12)	0.93 (0.80-1.09)	1.23 (1.01-1.50)	1.17 (0.89-1.54)	2.26 (1.45-3.51)

Increased risk at eGFR <30

Lazarus B1,2, Wu A1, Shin et al. Association of Metformin Use With Risk of Lactic Acidosis Across the Range of Kidney Function: A Community-Based Cohort Study. JAMA Intern Med. 2018 Jul 1;178(7):903-910.

Incretin-based therapy in hospitalized patients



Figure 2. Mean daily blood glucose concentrations. Values are shown as mean ± standard deviations. mg/dL: milligram/deciliter.



Lina-Real-World Study
Basal-bolus vs basal-linagliptin

Observational, multicenter
Non-critically ill patients with DM type 2 on oral agents (n=953)

DPP4i effective in patients with mild-moderate hyperglycemia

Minimizing injection burden

Lower risk of hypoglycemia

Pérez-Belmonte L et al.,J Clin Med. 2018 Sep 11;7(9):271.

DPP4-inhibitors in the Hospital

Benefit in select patient populations:

- **DM type 2 with HbA1c < 7.5%, on oral agents or insulin with TDD < 0.6 units/kg/day**
- **mild-moderate hyperglycemia in patients with stress hyperglycemia**

Korytkowski MT, et al J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2022 Jul 14;107(8):2101-2128.
Pasquel FJ et al. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2017 Feb;5(2):125-133.
Pérez-Belmonte L et al.,J Clin Med. 2018 Sep 11;7(9):271.
Vellanki P et al. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2019 Apr;21(4):837-843.

Brigham and Women's Hospital
Founding Member, Mass General Brigham

Dose Adjustments Based on Renal Function:

Sitagliptin
Saxagliptin

GFR (ml/min)	≥ 50	30-49	<30
Sitagliptin	100 mg	50 mg	25 mg
Saxagliptin	5 mg	2.5 mg	2.5 mg
Linagliptin	5 mg	5 mg	5 mg

SGLT-2 Inhibitors and Risk of Euglycemic DKA

Table 1—Clinical characteristics of euDKA cases

Case patient	1	2	3		4		5	6	7			8	9
Age (years)	40	58	27		28		31	55	26			39	64
Sex	Female	Male	Female		Female		Female	Female	Female			Female	Female
T1/T2	T1	T2	T1		T1		T1	T1	T1			T1	T2
MDI/CSII	MDI	N/A	MDI		CSII		CSII	CSII	CSII			CSII	N/A
Duration (years)	17	2	25		6		15	18	13			26	6
BMI (kg/m^2)	26.5	26.5	24.3		25.9		33.2	22.0	22.0			26.1	32.8
Prior A1C [% (mmol/mol)]	11.4 (101.1)	9.8 (83.6)	7.8 (61.7)		8.0 (63.9)		7.0 (53.0)	7.2 (55.2)	6.6 (48.6)			7.0 (53.0)	7.8 (62.0)
Canagliflozin dose (mg)	300	300	300	100	300	100	300	300	150			300	300
Potential contributors	URI	Surgery 1 week prior	URI, alcohol	Alcohol	Alcohol	Exercise, alcohol	Exercise	GI	None			URI	Surgery 12 h prior
Insulin dose reduction just prior to euDKA	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unknown	No	No	No	Yes	N/A
Presenting plasma glucose [mg/dL (mmol/L)]	220 (12.2)	150 (8.3)	150 (8.3)	96 (5.3)	224 (12.4)	158 (8.8)	~125 (~6.9)	203 (11.3)	190 (10.6)	150 (8.3)		233 (12.9)	169 (9.4)
pH	6.9	7.42	6.89						7.15				
P_{CO_2} (mmHg)	10								26				
Bicarbonate (mEq/L)	6	10	6		11	18		15	9			9	13 and then 5
Anion gap (mEq/L)	25	17	35		22	18		26	21			24	16 and then 19
Ketones*	Yes (serum and urine)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (serum and urine)
Where treated	ICU	ICU	ICU	Outpt.	ICU	Inpt.	Outpt.	ICU	ICU	Outpt.	Outpt.	ICU	ICU

CSII, continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion; GI, gastrointestinal; Inpt., inpatient; N/A, not available; Outpt., outpatient. *Urine ketones were strongly positive in all cases.

Cases noted in the perioperative period and acute illness/fluctuating volume status
Insulin deficient patients appear to be at greatest risk

Peters AL et al. Diabetes Care. 2015 Sep;38(9):1687-93.

Brigham and Women's Hospital
Founding Member, Mass General Brigham

SGLT-2 Inhibitors in the Hospital

- **Guidance to hold 3-4 days prior to planned procedures**
- **Often discontinued on admission**
- **Restarted as part of transition of care planning in carefully selected patients, data from inpatient care is limited***

*Palermo NE et al Diabetes 2020 Jun; 69(Supplement 1)
https:www.fda.gov>drug-safety

Newer and Concentrated Insulins

U-500 Transition of Care Planning is critical

Table 1: Examples of prescribed doses of HUMULIN R U-500 converted to amount of HUMULIN R U-500 to draw up in a U-100 insulin syringe or a tuberculin syringe for delivery of HUMULIN R U-500 using these devices

HUMULIN R U-500 dose prescribed (units of insulin)	Delivery Using a U-100 insulin syringe Amount of HUMULIN R U-500 to draw up in the syringe in "unit marking"	Delivery Using a Tuberculin syringe Amount of HUMULIN R U-500 to draw up in the syringe in "volume marking"
	Conversion: Divide prescribed dose by 5	Conversion: Divide prescribed dose by 500
25 Units	Draw to the 5 unit mark on syringe	Draw to the 0.05 mL mark on syringe
50 Units	Draw to the 10 unit mark on syringe	Draw to the 0.1 mL mark on syringe
75 Units	Draw to the 15 unit mark on syringe	Draw to the 0.15 mL mark on syringe
100 Units	Draw to the 20 unit mark on syringe	Draw to the 0.2 mL mark on syringe
125 Units	Draw to the 25 unit mark on syringe	Draw to the 0.25 mL mark on syringe
150 Units	Draw to the 30 unit mark on syringe	Draw to the 0.3 mL mark on syringe
175 Units	Draw to the 35 unit mark on syringe	Draw to the 0.35 mL mark on syringe
200 Units	Draw to the 40 unit mark on syringe	Draw to the 0.4 mL mark on syringe
225 Units	Draw to the 45 unit mark on syringe	Draw to the 0.45 mL mark on syringe
250 Units	Draw to the 50 unit mark on syringe	Draw to the 0.5 mL mark on syringe
...	...	...
500 Units	Draw to the 100 unit mark on syringe	Draw to the 1.0 mL mark on syringe

Package Insert
http://uspl.lilly.com/humulinru500/humulinru500.html

Integration of diabetes technology: *can we use in the hospital?*





Increased popularity with use of continuous glucose Monitoring (CGM) and insulin pump therapy (CSII) in patients with all forms of diabetes

CGM Use in the Hospital: special considerations

Factors for hospitalized patient: imaging (MRI), diathermy
Areas of ongoing interest: surgery, pressors, periods of rapid glucose fluctuations
Impact of certain medications

Table 1 List of FDA-approved CGM systems with features, limitations, and interfering substances

CGM system	Key features	Limitations	Known interfering substances
Abbott Diabetes Care FreeStyle Libre 14 day System [13]	a). No calibration required b). 1-h warm-up c). 14-day sensor wear d). Range 40–500 mg/dl	a). Requires scanning every 8 h to preserve data b). No threshold or predictive alerts	Ascorbic acid Salicylic acid
Abbott Diabetes Care Freestyle Libre 2 [12]	a). No calibration required b). 1-h warm-up c). 14-day sensor wear d). Range 40–400 mg/dl e). Optional alarms for hypoglycemia, hyperglycemia, and signal loss	a). Requires scanning every 8 h to preserve data b). No predictive alarms c). Limited ability to transmit data	Ascorbic acid
Dexcom G6 [14]	a). No calibration required b). 10-day sensor wear c). 40–400 mg/dl range d). Predictive alerts for hypoglycemia	a). 2-h warm-up	Hydroxyurea
Medtronic MiniMed Guardian Sensor [15]	a). 7-day sensor wear b). Predictive alerts c). Range 40–400 mg/dl	a). 2–4 calibrations/day required b). 2-h warm up c). 7-day sensor wear	Acetaminophen
Senseonics Eversense [16]	a). 90–180 day sensor wear b). Predictive hypo- and hyperglycemia alerts c). Conditional MRI compatibility	a). Implantable b). 2 calibrations/day required c). 24-h warm-up	Mannitol, tetracycline

Buschur EO et al Curr Diab Rep. 2022 Sep;22(9):451-460.

CGM in Acute Care Setting

Prospective (n=63)
DM type 2 Med/Surg on basal-bolus insulin
POC BG vs. CGM

Freestyle Libre Pro Flash CGM

- higher detection of hypoglycemia (nocturnal)
- CGM accuracy lower <70 mg/dL



Figure 1—Mean hospital daily glucose as measured by POC (circles) and CGM (squares). The overall mean daily glucose was significantly higher as measured by POC BG compared with CGM (188.9 ±37.3 vs. 176.1 ± 46.9 mg/dL), with an estimated mean glucose difference of 12.8 mg/dL (CI 8.3–17.2 mg/dL) ($P < 0.001$).



Figure 2—Hypoglycemia detection by POC (filled bars) and CGM (open bars).



Diabetes Care 1987;10(5):622–628

Error grid analysis (EGA) 98.8% zones A/B

Galindo RJ et al. Diabetes Care . 2020 Nov;43(11):2730-2735

CGM in Acute Care Setting

RT-CGM (Dexcom) vs POC

Prospective RCT
Non-critically ill patients (n=72)
Insulin treated DM type 2 with risk factors for hypoglycemia

Table 2—Glycemic outcomes

	RT-CGM/GTS group (n = 36)	POC group (n = 36)	P value
Hypoglycemic events/patient			
<70 mg/dL	0.67 (0.34–1.30)	1.69 (1.11–2.58)	0.024
<54 mg/dL	0.08 (0.03–0.26)	0.75 (0.51–1.09)	0.003
Nocturnal hypoglycemic events/patient			
<70 mg/dL	0.19 (0.09–0.41)	0.33 (0.19–0.59)	0.26
<54 mg/dL	0.03 (0.01–0.24)	0.11 (0.04–0.33)	0.26
Hypoglycemic events (<70 mg/dL)/patient/day	0.12 (0.06–0.24)	0.35 (0.23–0.54)	0.011
TBR <70 mg/dL (%)	0.40 (0.18–0.92)	1.88 (1.26–2.81)	0.002
TBR <54 mg/dL (%)	0.05 (0.01–0.43)	0.82 (0.47–1.43)	0.017
TIR 70–180 mg/dL (%)	59.12 (52.47–66.61)	54.69 (47.96–62.37)	0.39
TAR >180–250 mg/dL (%)	29.88 (26.11–34.19)	30.10 (26.11–34.70)	0.94
TAR >250 mg/dL (%)	10.60 (7.15–15.73)	13.33 (9.20–19.37)	0.41
CV (%)	26.09 (24–28.19)	27.89 (25.41–30.36)	0.28

Data are mean (95% CI).



Figure 1—Hypoglycemic events per patient.

Improvement hypoglycemia detection in CGM group

Singh LG et al Diabetes Care. 2020 Nov;43(11):2736-2743.

Glucose Monitoring in Hospitalized Patients

	Advantages	Disadvantages
POC testing	Readily available	Labor intensive (IV q1-2h) Patient preference Does not provide full 24h glycemic profile
CGM	Provides 24h glycemic profile Potential prediction of hypoglycemic event Alarm for asymptomatic hypoglycemia	Cost?

CGM in the Hospital: *where are we now?*

Directional guidance and hypoglycemia prevention

May consider continued use for patients utilizing CGM as outpatient in select cases if:

- **Patient is competent to continue use and educated on use of technology**
- **Glucose management team available for consultation**
- **Efficacy studies in progress- awaiting formal approval for inpatient use**

Our approach: to continue use of personal CGM for directional guidance, but all treatment decisions must be based on hospital calibrated glucometer. Hospital policy with guidance about potential interference and when to remove, etc.

Galingo et al . J Diabetes Sci Technol. 2020 Nov;14(6):1035-1041.

Diabetes Technology: Automated Insulin Delivery (AID) in the Hospital?







Closed Loop Insulin Delivery in the Acute Care Setting

Table 2. Primary and Secondary Outcomes.*

Outcome	Closed-Loop Group (N=70)	Control Group (N=66)	P Value
Time spent in sensor glucose measurement — %			
Within target range of 100 to 180 mg/dl: primary end point	65.8±16.8	41.5±16.9	<0.001
Mean >180 mg/dl	23.6±16.6	49.5±22.8	<0.001
Mean >360 mg/dl	1.2±4.8	2.6±7.0	0.18
Mean <100 mg/dl	10.6±6.7	9.0±13.2	0.37
Median <70 mg/dl (IQR)	0.5 (0.0–1.1)	0.0 (0.0–1.8)	0.13
Median <54 mg/dl (IQR)	0.0 (0.0–0.1)	0.0 (0.0–0.0)	0.80
Median <50 mg/dl (IQR)	0.0 (0.0–0.0)	0.0 (0.0–0.0)	0.79
Glucose — mg/dl	154±29	188±43	<0.001
SD of glucose — mg/dl†	46±19	59±19	<0.001
Coefficient of variation in glucose level — %	29.4±6.4	31.5±9.3	0.13
Between-day coefficient of variation in glucose level — %	15.6±8.0	21.7±12.2	0.001
Median AUC per day for glucose level (IQR)†			
<63 mg/dl	7.0 (0.0–298.7)	0.0 (0.0–305.7)	0.28
<54 mg/dl	0.0 (0.0–17.1)	0.0 (0.0–0.0)	0.63
Median total daily insulin dose (IQR) — U	44.4 (27.2–70.6)	40.2 (26.5–65.5)	0.50
Capillary glucose values — mg/dl§			
Before breakfast (5 to 8 a.m.)	134±32	156±58	0.009
Before lunch (11 a.m. to 1 p.m.)	175±49	227±63	<0.001
Before dinner (4 to 7 p.m.)	161±66	195±59	0.002
Before bedtime (9 p.m. to midnight)	170±54	218±81	<0.001
No. of events with capillary glucose <63 mg/dl¶	3	9	0.09



Figure 1. Sensor Glucose Measurements and Insulin Delivery.
Panel A shows median sensor glucose measurements during closed-loop insulin delivery (solid red line) and conventional subcutaneous insulin therapy (solid blue line), with the red and blue shaded areas indicating the inter-quartile range for each treatment. The values were measured during a 24-hour period from midnight to midnight. The lower and upper limits of the glucose target range of 100 to 180 mg per deciliter (5.6 to 10.0 mmol per liter) are indicated by black horizontal dashed lines. To convert the values for glucose to millimoles per liter, multiply by 0.05551. Panel B shows the median amount of algorithm-directed insulin delivered during the closed-

Improvement in glycemic control time in range (TIR) without increased risk of hypoglycemia

Bally L, et al. NEJM 2018 Aug 9;379(6):547-558.

CSII with Automated Insulin Delivery in the Acute Care Setting with HD



Post hoc RCT (n=17)
Efficacy of AID vs usual care

Noncritically ill
DM type 2 on HD

Improved time in range (TIR) in closed loop group

Balley L et al Kidney Int. 2019 Sep;96(3):593-596.

CSII with Automated Insulin Delivery in the Acute Care Setting: Complicated Nutritional Program



Open label RCT (n=43)
Noncritically ill Med/Surg
Established DM or SH
EN or PN (or both)

Improved time in range (TIR) in closed loop group

Boughton CK et al Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2019 May;7(5):368-377.

Use of Automated Insulin Delivery in the Acute Care

Considered in the appropriate clinical scenario

- individuals who are capable to self manage
- diabetes experts available for safe implementation

Studies for inpatient use are ongoing….



Our approach: all pump patients seen by inpatient diabetes team
Patients may continue use of AID if able to self-manage and
CGM congruent with POC glucose

Galingo et al . J Diabetes Sci Technol. 2020 Nov;14(6):1035-1064.
Diabetes Care. 2023 Jan 1;46(Suppl 1):S267-S278.

Future of Inpatient Glucose Management

- **More OADs?**
- **Computerized algorithms?**
- **CGM?**
- **Closed loop systems?**
- **Change in glycemic targets?**

Stay tuned …

55-year-old male with HTN, hyperlipidemia presenting with chest pain admitted for NSTEMI

He has no prior history of IFG/IGT

- **serum glucose on admission 225 mg/dL (12.5 mmol/L)**
- **fasting glucose next day 200 mg/dL (11.1 mmol/L)**

Is this important?
What is the role for monitoring? treating?

Brigham and Women's Hospital
Founding Member, Mass General Brigham

Questions?